

THE PHYSICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF SINGULARITIES IN THE CHERN–SIMONS FERMION
LIQUID DESCRIPTION OF A PARTIALLY FILLED LANDAU LEVEL

Ady Stern* and Bertrand I. Halperin

Physics Department, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138

Abstract

We analyze the linear response of a half filled Landau level to long wavelength and low frequency driving forces, using Fermi liquid theory for composite fermions. This response is determined by the composite fermions quasi-particle effective mass, m^* , and quasi-particle Landau interaction function $f(\theta - \theta')$. Analyzing infra-red divergences of perturbation theory, we get an exact expression for m^* , and conjecture the form of the $f(\theta - \theta')$. We then conclude that in the limit of infinite cyclotron frequency, and small $\mathbf{q}, \omega$, the composite fermion excitation spectrum is continuous for $0 < \omega < \gamma \frac{e^2}{\epsilon h} q$, with γ an unknown number. For fractional quantum Hall states near a half filled Landau level, we derive an exact expression for the energy gap.

The fermionic Chern–Simons theory of the half filled Landau level maps the problem of interacting electrons in a strong magnetic field onto that of composite fermions at zero magnetic field, interacting with a Chern–Simons gauge field. This mapping opens the way to a study of low energy excitations of the system by an application of Fermi liquid theory. In this paper we discuss such an application. Due to space constraints, very few details are given. We refer the reader to Ref. [1] for further details.

Fermi liquid theory outlines a formal method for a calculation of the exact small wave-vector $\mathbf{q}$, low frequency ω and zero temperature limit of linear response functions. In practice, the exact calculation is usually intractable, and approximations are needed. In section (1) below, we remind the reader of the formal method. Then, in section (2), we attempt to use it to extract information about the linear response of electrons at, and near, a half filled Landau level.

1. Derivation of linear response function by Fermi liquid theory – a review

The Fermi liquid theory prescription for the calculation of linear response functions can be put into the following steps:

- Formulate the Hamiltonian of the system.
- Calculate the effective mass of quasi-particles at the Fermi level m^* and the quasi-particle Landau interaction function $f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$. This calculation is done in two stages. First, calculate the single particle self energy $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ for states near the Fermi level,

and the two-particle interaction operator $\Gamma(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1; \mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2; \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{q}, \omega_1 + \Omega; \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}, \omega_2 - \Omega)$ for an exchange scattering near the Fermi level. Then, use the following formulae,

$$m^* = m \frac{1 + \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \omega}}{1 - \frac{m}{k_F} \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial |\mathbf{k}|}} \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') = z^2 \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow 0} \Gamma(\mathbf{k}, 0; \mathbf{k}', 0; \mathbf{k}', \Omega; \mathbf{k}, -\Omega)$$

to extract m^* and f out of Σ and Γ . In Eq. (1), z is the single particle Green function residue at the Fermi level.

In most practical cases, the self energy and the interaction operator cannot be calculated exactly, and have to be approximated by a perturbative calculation.

- Consider now the response of a 2D fermion system to a driving force in the limit $\mathbf{q}, \omega \rightarrow 0$. In linear response to such a driving force, the shape of the Fermi surface acquires a space and time dependence characterized by the same Fourier components $\mathbf{q}, \omega$, and is described by a function $\nu_{\mathbf{q}, \omega}(\theta)$. The angle θ parametrizes the Fermi surface, whose ground state shape is a circle. The function $\nu_{\mathbf{q}, \omega}(\theta)$ describes the distortion of the Fermi surface relative to its ground state shape. It is related to the driving force acting on the fermions by means of the Boltzmann equation, in which the effective mass and the Landau function are parameters:

$$(\omega - q \frac{k_F}{m^*} \cos \theta) \nu_{\mathbf{q}, \omega}(\theta) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} q k_F \cos \theta \int d\theta' f(\theta - \theta') \nu_{\mathbf{q}, \omega}(\theta') = \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{q}, \omega} \quad (2)$$

where the angle θ is measured relative to $\mathbf{q}$; the function $f(\theta - \theta')$ is the quasi-particle interaction function $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ for two wave-vectors at the Fermi surface, at angles θ, θ' relative to $\mathbf{q}$; and $\mathbf{F}$ is the driving force. In the case of long range interaction the driving force $\mathbf{F}$ deserves a further discussion, which is given below.

- In the absence of driving force ($\mathbf{F} = 0$), the solutions to the Boltzmann equation (2) are low energy excitation modes of the Fermi liquid. As long as $f(\theta - \theta')$ is regular, these modes are composed of a continuum, at $0 < \omega < v_F^* q$, and possibly a number of discrete modes.
- The Boltzmann equation relates the driving force to the shape of the Fermi surface. The shape of the Fermi surface determines the three-vector current $J_\mu(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, according to:

$$J_0(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = k_F \int d\theta \nu_{\mathbf{q}, \omega}(\theta)$$

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \int d^2 k \delta(k - k_F) \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}} \nu_{\mathbf{q}, \omega}(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{k q}) \quad (3)$$

with $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{k}}{m^*} + \int d^2 k' f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'} - \mu) \frac{\mathbf{k}'}{m^*}$. Combined together, Eqs. (2) and (3) relate the current to the driving force, i.e., lead to the linear response functions.

- In the case of long range interactions between the fermions, the driving force appearing in the right hand side of the Boltzmann equation is the total driving force, composed of an externally imposed part and an internally induced part. The induced part is exerted by charge density and current induced by the driving force in the system.

2. Application to the $\nu = 1/2$ problem

- **The fermions' Hamiltonian is:**

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \int d^2r \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) [-i\nabla - \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})]^2 \Psi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r \int d^2r' [\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\Psi(\mathbf{r}) - n] V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}')\Psi(\mathbf{r}') - n] \quad (4)$$

supplemented by the gauge condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$ and the constraint $\nabla \times \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) = 2\pi\tilde{\phi}[\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\Psi(\mathbf{r}) - n]$, where n is the average electron density. The parameter $\tilde{\phi}$ is the number of flux quanta attached to every fermion. While $\tilde{\phi} = 2$ for $\nu = 1/2$, it is useful to keep $\tilde{\phi}$ as a variable parameter (see below). In Eq. (4) we use a system of units where $\hbar = \frac{e}{c} = 1$.

- **Perturbative calculation:** There are two dimensionless parameter in the present problem. The first, $\tilde{\phi}$, the number of flux quanta attached to each fermion, is the dimensionless fermion–gauge field coupling constant. The second is the ratio of Coulomb and cyclotron energies, $\frac{e^2 k_F}{\omega_c}$. In the physical system $\tilde{\phi} = 2$ and $\frac{e^2 k_F}{\omega_c}$ is small.

We define the unperturbed problem as that in which both parameters are zero, and the problem is that of non-interacting fermions at zero magnetic field. In our perturbative scheme, $\tilde{\phi}$ is turned adiabatically on, and so is the external magnetic field B , such that $B = 2\pi\tilde{\phi}n$. In parallel, $\frac{e^2 k_F}{\omega_c}$ is turned on from zero, too.

- **The effective mass:** A calculation of the effective mass using a low order perturbation theory approximation for the self energy leads to the conclusion that the effective mass of a quasi-particle of energy ω above the Fermi energy diverges logarithmically for small ω [2]. We have studied the effect of higher order processes on this result, and concluded that *to all orders in $\tilde{\phi}$* ,

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} m^*(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{\phi}^2}{2\pi} \frac{e k_F}{e^2} |\ln \omega| \quad (5)$$

This conclusion is based on two observations: first, following from general principles, the infra-red limit of the gauge field propagator can be calculated exactly. Second, by use of Ward identities, we are able to show that the renormalization of the vertices by high-momentum exchange processes does not affect the effective mass.

- **The Landau function:** A calculation of the Landau function within low order perturbation theory leads to the conclusion that $f(\theta - \theta') = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{m} \delta(\theta)$. When substituted into the Boltzmann equation (2), this singular form of the Landau function leads to a re-normalization of the effective mass from infinity back to its bare value, and to a cancelation of the logarithmically divergent effective mass from the linear response functions.

This cancelation is consistent with calculations of the response functions using perturbation theory, renormalization group and bosonization [3]. However, the re – renormalization of the mass back to its *bare* value is inconsistent with the requirement that the low energy spectrum of a partially filled Landau level should be independent of the bare mass at the limit of infinite cyclotron energy, and should depend on the Coulomb energy scale only. To

reconcile both arguments, we conjecture that the correct form of the Landau function is

$$f(\theta - \theta') = A\delta(\theta - \theta') + \text{regular part} \quad (6)$$

where A is of the order of $\frac{e^2}{\epsilon k_F}$. In the limit of infinite cyclotron energy, we expect the regular part to give a contribution of the order of $\frac{1}{m}$ to the first two Fourier components, f_0 and f_1 , only.

• **Low energy linear response:** The Boltzmann equation can be used to calculate the density–density component of the fermions’ linear response function, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, which gives the response to the total scalar and vector potentials. The total potentials include both the Coulomb and Chern–Simons potentials resulting from the induced density and current fluctuations of wave vector $\mathbf{q}$ and frequency ω . Poles in this polarizability function can be loosely interpreted as excitation modes of the fermion system, when the long range forces are suppressed. If our conjecture for the Landau function (Eq. (6)) is indeed correct, then the spectrum of density excitations is composed mostly of a continuum of modes at $\omega < \tilde{v}_F q$, where $\tilde{v}_F \propto \frac{e^2}{\epsilon h}$, with the proportionality constant being an unknown number. This continuum leads to a finite longitudinal electronic resistance in that range of $\mathbf{q}, \omega$. On top of that continuum, our conjecture predicts, at the limit of infinite cyclotron energy, 1 or 2 discrete poles, whose energy depends on the bare mass of the electron. While these poles are not excitation modes of the electronic system, they do have an effect on its linear response.

3. Implications to FQHE states near $\nu = 1/2$

We have studied the energy gap corresponding to a fractional quantum Hall state of the form $\nu = \frac{p}{2p+1}$, by mapping the state onto that of p filled composite–fermion Landau levels, and directly calculating the discontinuity in the chemical potential when the density is varied. Similar to the case of the effective mass, we were able to obtain an exact result, namely,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_g = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{e^2}{\epsilon l_H} \frac{1}{(2p+1) \ln(2p+1)} \quad (7)$$

This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMR 94–16910. AS acknowledges financial support of the Harvard Society of Fellows.

References

- [*] Future address (starting from October 1995): Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Weizmann Institute of Sciences, Rehovot 76100, Israel.
- [1] A. Stern and Bertrand I. Halperin, preprint, cond–mat 9502032, and references therein.
- [2] B.I. Halperin, P.A. Lee and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7312 (1993).
- [3] Y.B. Kim, X.G. Wen, P.A. Lee and A. Furusaki, Phys. Rev. B **50** 17917 (1994); B.L. Altshuler, L. Ioffe and A.J. Millis, preprint; C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, Nuc. Phys. **B417**, 359 (1994); H.J. Kwon, A. Houghton and J.B. Marston, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 284 (1994).